

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

244-1

CWP-3143-2022
Date of Decision: 31.08.2023

ANIL KUMAR SINGH AND ANR.

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Mudit Gosain, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for respondents No.3, 6, 8 and 9.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition was to the clarification order dated 10.02.2022 passed by the District Registrar, Firms and Societies, Faridabad, whereby it was conveyed that there was no stay on the scheduled elections and the Returning Officer could conduct the elections as per the scheduled time.

Learned counsel for the respective respondents contend that the main issue has already been decided finally by the District Registrar, Firms and Societies, Faridabad and even the elections have been held thereafter. No challenge has been raised by the petitioners to any of the said proceedings i.e. either the final order passed by the District Registrar, Firms and Societies, Faridabad or the elections as well.

In view of the above, the stand of the respondents has remained uncontroverted.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in view of the subsequent developments, the disposal of the present writ petition shall not operate as a bar against the petitioners to pursue their alternative remedies in accordance with law.

31.08.2023.
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No