

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CWP-3358-2022

Date of Decision:30.05.2023

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Information Commission &amp; others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present:- Ms. Shalini Attri, Advocate  
Legal Aid Counsel (Pro Bono), for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN J.(Oral)**

1. Instant petition is filed seeking quashing of order dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-12) passed by respondent No.1-Punjab State Information Commission, Chandigarh (hereinafter to be referred to as 'Commission').

2. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner on 22.04.2019 filed 24 applications seeking information under the Right to Information Act, 2005, to respondent No.3-Public Information Officer-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Village Nurpur, Block Aur, Tehsil Banga, District SBS Nagar. After lapse of thirty days the first appeal was filed, the first Appellate Authority issued directions to supply the information within twenty days. As the needful was not done, petitioner preferred a second appeal which was disposed of on 29.11.2019. The Commission noted the

fact that the respondents had filed an affidavit stating that the petitioner can

inspect the voluminous record of 6000 pages and thereafter the documents asked for would be supplied but the petitioner denied to inspect the record. An affidavit was filed by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer and the Sarpanch stating that no further information as sought by the petitioner is available.

3. The counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that during the pendency of the petition, petitioner had inspected the record and was provided 6000 pages of information.

4. In view of the factual position, no further interference is called for.

5. Petition is dismissed.

6. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.

7. Since the main case has been dismissed, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

30.05.2023  
*shweta*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |