

218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3374-2022

Date of Decision: 9th March, 2023

Karan Tanwar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Kapil Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking quashing of order dated 16.12.2020 whereby the police on verification gave a report 'not recommended for issuance of licence to the petitioner'.

Learned State Counsel has relied upon the pleadings of the reply dated 7.7.2022 and submits that application for grant of Arms Licence has been rejected and copy of the order can be collected by the petitioner from the office of respondent No.2 on any working day.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order of rejection.

Before concluding, it would be apposite to note that the internal communication between the department with regard to the antecedents and verification has landed in the hands of the petitioner though the source of procuring the same is not disclosed in the petition.

Let the matter be looked into at an appropriate level with regard to leakage of inter-departmental reports to the affected parties.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

9th March, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No