

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2618-2023

Date of Decision: 23.02.2023

Veena Bakshi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and another

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Vipin Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action with better particulars. On 09.02.2023, the following order was passed:-

“A perusal of the petition does not go on to show that the highway which is stated to be set up by bifurcating the land of the petitioner is new or an old one.

Counsel prays for time to confirm this fact and whether any objections were filed under Section 3C of the National Highways Act, 1956 after the notification under Section 3A had been issued.

Adjourned to 23.02.2023.”

No document as such has been filed.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

23.02.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No