

CWP-3633-2019

-1-

2023:PHHC:148024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-3633-2019

Date of Decision :-21.11.2023

Gindodi Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner was that she was entitled for the regularization of her services w.e.f. 2011, which benefit was given to the similarly situated employees.

2. In para-6 of reply it has been mentioned that the petitioner has already been given the said benefit of regularization of service w.e.f 01.04.2011 and that no junior of the petitioner has been regularized prior to the said date.

3. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said averments.

4. Keeping in view the said fact, the present petition has already been rendered infructuous as the relief claimed in the present petition has already been extended to the petitioner hence, no further orders are required

to be passed by this Court and the present petition is disposed of as such.

November 21, 2023  
*aarti*

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned :*    *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable :*                *Yes/No*