

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-6467-2023
Date of Decision: 07.02.2023

Nisha Mittal Petitioner
Versus
Central Bureau of Investigation and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Vikram Chaudhri, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Keshavam Chaudhri, Advocate,
Ms. Hargun Sandhu, Advocate,
Mr. Parvej Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Sajal Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Advocate for the respondent-CBI.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Lokesh Narang, Senior Panel Counsel for respondent No. 2 –E.D.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

A perusal of the petition reveals that the petitioner did not mention about the criminal antecedents.

Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that it is a *bonafide* error and as per instructions given to him through his instructing counsel that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents apart from the cases meant with this transaction.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition and arrest of the petitioner may be stayed in the meantime.

Given above, the present petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition declaring all the criminal antecedents.

However, in view of aforesaid statement of counsel for the petitioner, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed till 13.02.2023.

All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

07.02.2023
Jyoti-II

JYOTI
2023.02.08 16:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No