

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2540-2023

Date of Decision: 8th February, 2023

Mannu Dattan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This writ petition in the nature of Certiorari is filed for quashing of orders dated 3.11.2017 and 7.12.2018 suspending the Arms licence of the petitioner and dismissing the appeal respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Arms licence was cancelled solely on the ground that the petitioner was involved in FIR No. 513 dated 9.12.2015 under Sections 304, 109, 285 read with 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 30 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at P.S. Indri, District Karnal. He further submits that the petitioner was acquitted on 14.12.2022 and that ground no longer exists.

3. Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice submits that in case the petitioner applies for a new Arms licence, the same would be considered in accordance with law expeditiously.

4. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh application for Arms licence.

5. There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioner filing an

application for new Arms licence, the same would be considered in accordance with law without being influenced by the impugned orders.

6. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

8th February, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No