

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

206

CRM-M-8355 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.1.2023

Bhavna Sardana

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, through the instant petition under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., is seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No. 2 by this Court vide order dated 5.12.2019 in CRM-M- 42169 of 2019 in FIR No. 87 dated 3.7.2019, under Sections 323, 34,377, 406, 498-A and 509 IPC, registered at Police Station Women West Gurugram, District Gurugram.

Mr. Gunjan Rishi, Advocate has filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No. 2 is threatening the petitioner, thus, respondent No. 2 does not deserve concession of anticipatory bail and it needs to be cancelled.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surekha, submits that challan has been presented and respondent No. 2 has already been released on regular bail, thus, present petition has become infructuous.

I have heard counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In view of statement of learned State counsel that challan in the case has been presented and petitioner has already been released on regular bail, the present petition is devoid of merit and deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

Pending misc. applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.1.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No