

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7721-2021 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 23.01.2023**

DEEPAK BANSAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akash Singla, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to avail the appropriate alternative remedy in accordance with the law i.e., by way of filing an appeal within a period of two weeks. In the subsequent FIR, the petitioner has already been granted bail by this Court which stands confirmed, however, the instant petition is still pending which involves offences under Section 3(1)(x) of SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

ASI Harpal Singh who is present in Court today undertakes not to arrest the petitioners till the filing of appeal and disposal of application for interim bail. No objection has been given by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant.

CRM-M-7721-2021 (O&M)

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

23.01.2023

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>