

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.203

CRM-M-6595-2023

Date of decision : 27.04.2023

Luv Jain

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr.Hargun Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.454 dated 24.07.2022 registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC at Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala.

(2) On 08.02.2023 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Inter-alia relies on the issuance of notice and grant of anticipatory bail to a similarly placed co-accused -Ravi Kumar Jain who had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-45465-2022 – Ravi Kumar Jain vs. State of Haryana. Notice of motion.

Mr.Viney Phogat, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

For arguments, adjourned to 27.04.2023.

Till the adjourned date the petitioner's arrest is stayed. Before the adjourned date the State shall file a status report in the form of affidavit of Superintendent of Police, Ambala who shall personally look into the matter before filing his affidavit after consideration of which further orders shall be passed in the instant petition.”

(3) In pursuance to the afore quoted order, affidavit of SP, Ambala has been filed in which it has *inter alia* been stated that the dispute between the petitioner and the complainant stands settled and that on such basis the State has prepared a cancellation report which shall shortly be filed before the competent court.

(4) In the light of the above and for the reason that the order granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the main accused namely Ravi Kumar Jain, who had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-45465-2022 *Ravi Kumar Jain vs. State of Haryana* has already been ordered to be made absolute, subject to the petitioner’s abiding by the conditions prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C the order of this Court dated 08.02.2023, staying the petitioner’s arrest is ordered to be made absolute.

27.04.2023
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No