

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.256)

CRM-M No. 6324 of 2023

Date of decision : 02.05.2023

Tinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Sukesh Kumar Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.392 dated 26.11.2022 registered under Sections 148, 323, 341, 324, 506 and 427 IPC read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Julana, District Jind.

(2) On 07.02.2023, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Inter-alia relies on the issuance of notice and grant of ad interim anticipatory bail to similarly placed co-accused Neelam @ Suman and Rohit who have earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-1683-2023 –Neelam @ Suman and another vs. State of Haryana.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Viney Phogat, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. For arguments, adjourned to 02.05.2023.

To be heard along with CRM-M-1683-2023.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.392, dated 26.11.2022, registered under Sections 148, 323, 341, 324, 506 and 427 IPC read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Julana, District Jind, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

(3) Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

(4) After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as have been recorded in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State as also for the reason that similarly placed co-accused Neelam @ Suman and Rohit who have earlier approached this Court through CRM-M No. 1683 of 2023 – *Neelam @ Suman and another Vs. State of Haryana*, have already been granted anticipatory bail, subject to the petitioner abiding by the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., the order of this Court dated 07.02.2023, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

02.05.2023

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No