

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CWP-3077-2019

Date of Decision : 03.11.2023

Parveen Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the petitioner was claiming selection/appointment against a Group-D post in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 4/2018 dated 26.08.2018 (Annexure P-1). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner was competing as a dependent of Ex-servicemen in the said selection but the petitioner has been treated as a General Category Candidate so as to oust her from the zone of consideration.

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply, wherein, they have mentioned that the posts which have been reserved for the Ex-servicemen candidates, are to be offered to the Ex-servicemen candidates to the exclusion of the others and it is only in case, any post is left vacant, the same is to be given to the dependent of Ex-servicemen and in the present case, all the posts reserved for the Ex-servicemen were consumed by the Ex-servicemen candidates themselves, hence, the

petitioner could not be considered against the reserved category of dependent of Ex-servicemen and consequently, the claim of the petitioner was considered in the General Category and as the petitioner has secured 43 marks in the said category and the last selected candidate in General Category has secured 69 marks, the petitioner cannot claim any benefit of selection/appointment for the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no detail of the Ex-servicemen candidates, who have been selected, has been given by the respondents so as to support the averment made in the written statement.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in case, any request is received from the petitioner to give the details of the selected Ex-servicemen candidates, the same will be provided in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the receipt of any such representation.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case any of the information mentioned in the written statement proves to be wrong.

Ordered accordingly.

November 3rd, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No