

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3270-2020

Date of decision : 26.04.2023

Jaswinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 14.01.2020 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.3 whereby he appointed respondent No.5 as Administrator.

2. On 29.09.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Gurvinder Singh, AAG Punjab

Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate with

Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for respondent No. 5

Learned counsel for respondent No. 5 submits that appointment of Administrator was for a period of 45 days only. The said period has since come to an end and, thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 19.10.2022.

Sd/-(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

September 29, 2022”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents have again reiterated that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid aspect.

5. Accordingly, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to her.

26.04.2023

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No