

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**102**

**CWP-3550-2020**

**Date of Decision: 05.07.2023**

**Narinder Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Union of India and Another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Ms. Deepika Bansal, Advocate for  
Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate for the petitioner  
Ms. Amrita Singh, Advocate for the respondents  
\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that petitioner has again applied under 'Fresh Category' whereas he could have applied under 'Re-issue Category'.
2. On being confronted with aforesaid fact, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she has no instructions, thus, petition may accordingly be disposed of.
3. The petitioner for the same relief filed CWP No.35527 of 2019 which came to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order 07.12.2019 passed by this Court. The petition was dismissed without granting liberty to file a fresh petition. Despite the said fact, the petitioner was granted opportunity to place on record documents that had been relied upon at the stage of issuance of first passport in the year 2008. The petitioner

was granted multiple opportunities and as per learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no instruction with respect to documents directed to be placed on record.

In view of afore-stated facts and circumstances no further order is warranted.

Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

05.07.2023  
Mohit Kumar

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |