

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(235)

CWP-3288-2020

Date of decision: - 08.11.2023

Sushil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 31.01.2020 (Annexure P-12) whereby retirement notice under 9.18(i)(c) of the Punjab Police Rules, Vol.1 and Rule 144 and 145 of Haryana Services (General) Rules, 2016 has been passed against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the petitioner has retired, thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 08, 2023

naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No