

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3359 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision :14.12.2023

Dhare Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Bhuvnesh Lakhera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

**“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226 and
227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a
writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the
respondents to issue No Objection Certificate in respect
of Plot No.1915, Sector 62, Faridabad”.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition he had even served the respondents-authority vide representation dated 10.12.2019 (P3) but to no avail. So much so, he asserts that despite opportunity no written statement has been filed by the respondents either.

As to this, learned counsel for the respondents submits, at the outset, that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondents-authority to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner

and pass necessary orders on the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law. He further submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing. And required order shall be passed within six weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties. This Court is sanguine that the respondents-authority shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the claim/grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2023

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No