

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 3064 of 2003 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.2.2023.

...

Sangat Singh

.....Appellant

vs.

Rajesh Anand and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Varun Parkash, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for
Mr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate for respondent no.1.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No.1, states that Mr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate has informed him that respondent No.1 had taken away the brief from him stating that since he had handed over possession of the property in question to the appellant- landlord, the appeal was not to be contested.

In view of such statement made by Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Mr. Amit Jain, Senior counsel for the appellant states that he does not have any instructions in the matter, though the Court may dispose of

the regular second appeal in view of the statement of Mr. Sumit Sangwan, granting him liberty to get the appeal revived if some cause of action still survives to the appellant.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of as infructuous with liberty granted to the appellant, as prayed for.

13.2.2023
chugh

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No