

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6906-2023
Date of decision: 09.05.2023

SUKHDEEP SINGH @ SEEPA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Pranav Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1.
- On 09.02.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.63 dated 14.04.2022 under Section 387 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kotbhai, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.
Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no allegation in the FIR to indicate that any specific person was extended any threat by the petitioner in order to commit extortion. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. Two of the co-accused were arrested and have been released on regular bail.
Notice of motion.
Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.
Adjourned to 09.05.2023.
Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”
2.
- Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of interim directions.

CRM-M-6906-2023

dispute the aforesaid factual aspects and also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 09.02.2023, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.
5. The petition is allowed.

09.05.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No