

2023:PHHC:140060
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(207)

CWP No. 2965 of 2019

Date of Decision : 03.11.2023

Ajay and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Pritabha Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sushil Dhull, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 12.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that in the present petition, there is no master and servant relationship between the petitioners and the respondents as the petitioners were appointed through an outsourcing agency, which is not even a party to the present petition. Learned State counsel further submits that against the private institute, the writ petition is not maintainable.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to avail appropriate remedy before the Civil Court.

Ordered accordingly.

November 3rd, 2023

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No