

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-6174-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.02.2023

Rohit @ Rohit Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0981 dated 02.07.2022, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram, under Sections 120-B, 177, 182, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC.

According to the contents of the FIR, the petitioner furnished fake surety papers for securing bail. The person produced as surety has got a statement recorded that he never stood surety for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that bail was granted to the petitioner in a case registered under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The said case has come to an end as the matter has been compromised in Lok Adalat. The petitioner is prepared to join investigation and thus, he deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

From the aforementioned submission, it is evident that there is no argument on the merits of the case. Thus, it is evident that the petitioner forged the surety documents for the purpose of securing bail. His custodial interrogation is thus, necessary. Just because the case filed under Section

138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 has been compromised, the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner has not been reduced.

The petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2023
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No