

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP-2335-2023
Date of Decision: 24.11.2023

Sewa Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner
Mr. Prince Pushpinder Rana, Advocate for
Ms. Madhu Dayual, Advoate for respondent-State Bank of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.1 to decide legal notice dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-4).
2. The petitioner on 31.05.2008 retired from respondent-bank. The pension of employees has been revised in terms of recommendation of 5th Pay Commission, however, the pension of the petitioner has not been revised and he has served legal notice dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-4) upon the respondent. The petitioner is praying for direction to the respondent to decide his legal notice.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents asserts that competent authority would dispose of legal notice dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner within two months from today.
4. In the wake of statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No