

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

2023:PHHC:057673-DB

**CM-11018-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP-3113-2020**

Date of Decision: 24.04.2023

Neelam Devi and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Panipat Urban Cooperative Bank Ltd.

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Tushal Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-Bank.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. CM-11018-CWP-2022 has been filed for vacation of stay of order dated 05.02.2020, in which notice was issued on 02.08.2022 by noting that counsel for the petitioners was not appearing. Before that also, counsel had not appeared on 14.07.2022. The interim order dated 05.02.2020 is continuing on account of the fact that the petitioners deposited a sum of Rs.1,00,000/-.

2. A perusal of the paper book would go on to show that the bank was seeking to recovery Rs.4,26,836.95/- at that point of time. As per the reply filed by the bank, a sum of Rs.4,26,836.95/- was due on 31.03.2019. The due amount as on 31.03.2021 is stated to be Rs.6,25,878/- as per the statement of the bank.

3. Keeping in view the above, since counsel for the petitioners is not putting in appearance, we are dismissing the writ petition for want of prosecution. It is open to the petitioners to deposit the said amount to settle the claim.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

24.04.2023

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Yes

No