

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6300-2023
Decided on : 09.02.2023

Namdeep Sorab @ Naamdeep Sorab

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that it is a case of false implication. Learned counsel further submits that a perusal of FIR in question though *prima facie* reveals the commission of offence under Section 66-B of the Information Technology Act, 2000, however, commission of offence under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC is clearly amiss in the case in hand. Learned counsel still further submits that on this ground itself, FIR in question deserves to be quashed.

When this Court was not inclined to accept the submissions of the petitioners, a prayer was made by learned counsel for the petitioners for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

09.02.2023
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No