

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(110)

CWP-2233-2023

Date of decision: - 10.02.2023

Sukhwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Haravtar Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to take/initiate the disciplinary proceedings/inquiry against respondents No.6 to 10.

On 06.02.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner and other persons had given a complaint dated 23.07.2021 by alleging that auction of plot No.12/2 measuring of 5 acres of shamlat land of Gram Panchayat Khawaja Vardag Block Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur has not been conducted since the year 2018-2019 and further mentioned that the plot is in illegal occupation of one Jasbir Singh, who is the husband of panch Sarabjit Kaur, without auction. It is stated that vide letter dated 12.08.2021, the Government of Punjab, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, had written to the Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur, by observing that the

matter is very serious and status report be submitted regarding the same within a period of 15 days. It is further submitted that nothing further was done and it is only when a legal notice dated 17.01.2022 was issued that vide letter dated 15.02.2022, the Government of Punjab, Rural Development and Panchayat Department wrote a letter to the District Development and Panchayat Officer. It is contended that even thereafter, no further proceedings have been initiated.

Learned State counsel is directed to get the instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 10.02.2023.

February 06, 2023

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE"**

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that in the present case, an inquiry which is pending before the District Development Panchayat Officer would be completed within a period of two months from today and in case, on conclusion, any action in accordance with law is required to be taken against private respondents, then, the same would be done after following due procedure of law and after giving due opportunity of hearing to respondents No.5 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted, in view of the above-said statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous, at this stage and the same may be disposed of as such.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, at this stage.

February 10, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No