

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(224)

CRM-M-6252-2023
Date of Decision:-15.03.2023

Vikram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.07 dated 04.01.2021, registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 452, 376 (2) (N) of IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, at Police Station Narnaund, District Hansi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The entire story in the FIR is false and concocted. The petitioner is in custody since 08.01.2021 and incarceration of the petitioner is unwarranted and as such, the petitioner may kindly be released on bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition, however, could not controvert that the testimony of the victim and complainant has taken place.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since **08.01.2021**. Trial is likely to take long time. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by

CRM-M-6252-2023

keeping the petitioner in custody.

In view of the above, without commenting upon the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. He shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

1. The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
2. He will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.
3. He will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
4. He will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 439 of Cr.P.C.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

In case, it is found that the petitioner has violated any of the terms or has tried to threaten the complainant or any of her family members directly or indirectly, the complainant and/or State is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

March 15, 2023.

Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No