

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3006 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:31.07.2023

Nivesh

...Petitioner

Vs

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

-.-

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the challenge was laid to the action of the respondent-Commission in not evaluating the candidature of the petitioner in the manner required, as he was not given marks under the social-economic criteria.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits that during the pendency of the petition, realizing their mistake, the petitioner has already been given the benefit of five marks under the socio-economic criteria by the respondent-Commission and his merit has been now assessed at Sr. No.69, which is the merit of the last selected candidate.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner further submits that in view of the revised result, the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of selection and consequent appointment to the post in question and hence, the respondent be directed to give such consideration to the petitioner, in view of the changed circumstances.

4.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that keeping in view the revised result of the petitioner and merit of the last candidate selected and appointed, consideration to the claim of the petitioner will be given and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from today. In case, the petitioner is found meritorious enough to be selected and appointed against the advertised post, appropriate relief will be extended to the petitioner within a further period of four weeks.
5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the statement made by counsel appearing for the respondent, submits that grievance of the petitioner as raised in the present petition stands redressed and the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same, in case the undertaking as given by the respondent is not fulfilled.
6.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

July 31, 2023

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No