

225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5622-2023

Date of Decision: 8th February, 2023

Gurpinder Singh @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This is second petition filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 171, dated 23.05.2020, under Section 22 and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act') registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.
2. The main thrust for seeking regular bail is on the ground of custody period.
3. The prayer is being opposed by learned State counsel. He on instructions from ASI Naveen Kumar submits that out of eleven prosecution witnesses two have been examined and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining prosecution expeditiously.
4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition at this stage.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examining the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

8th February, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No