

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-5592-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.02.2023

Mukha Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take necessary legal action on his representation dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) against respondents No.4 and 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation was given on 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural District Amritsar, however, respondent No.2 had failed to come to his rescue. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his application.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sanish Girdhar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police Rural District Amritsar, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if

respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

03.02.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No