

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

305

CWP No.2193 of 1994

Date of Decision:10.03.2023

The Sthenishwar Hand Made Paper P.C.I.S. Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

2)

CWP-2733 of 1994

Poonam Saini

....Petitioner

Versus

Indian Oil Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: None for the petitioner in CWP No.2193 of 1994.

Ms. Vibha Nagar, Advocate, for
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-2733 of 1994.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate,
for respondent No.7 in CWP No.2193 of 1994 and
for respondent No.3 in CWP-2733 of 1994.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Both the cases are taken up together with the consent of learned counsel for the parties as the same Letter of Intent (LoI) is under challenge in both the case.

Both the writ petitions have been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for

quashing of Letter of Intent dated 02.12.1993 issued by the Indian Oil Corporation for selection of respondent No.7 (in CWP No.2193 of 1994) and respondent No.3 (in CWP-2733 of 1994) allotting them dealership of retail outlet of Indian Oil Corporation at Pipli-Kurukshetra Road, Kurukshetra.

At the outset, Mr. Ashish Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Indian Oil Corporation Limited has stated on instructions that the advertisement in the present case was of the year 1993 and both the petitions are of the year 1994 whereby the selection of respondents No.7 & 3 respectively has been challenged and there was an interim order in both the cases. He submitted that thereafter no progress has been made and about 30 years have elapsed and now the respondent-Corporation has decided not to proceed ahead at the aforesaid site which is the subject matter of both the writ petitions due to afflux of time.

Ms. Vibha Nagar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP-2733 of 1994 submitted that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent Corporation, nothing survives in the case and the same has become redundant.

So far as CWP-2193 of 1994 is concerned, no one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Both the petitions are dismissed as having been become redundant in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent Corporation.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2023
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No