

**103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2475-2023

Date of Decision: 7th February, 2023

Randeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

The writ petition in the nature of Mandamus is filed seeking directions to supply the information under Right to Information Act, 2005 sought by an application (Annexure P-1).

The grievance raised is that the information was not supplied and the appeal before the State Information Commission has not been decided.

From the perusal of the pleadings, it is forthcoming that the petitioner is pursuing the statutory appellate remedy. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner approached the appellate authority for an expeditious disposal of the appeal. There is another aspect of the matter that the prayer herein is for directions to supply information, the said issue is subjudiced in appeal before the State Information Commission.

No ground is made out for interference in writ jurisdiction.

Petition is dismissed.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of the petitioner approaching the State Information Commission for an early disposal of the matter, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

7th February, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No