

CWP No. 3041 of 2020

2023:PHHC:134430

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(229)

CWP No. 3041 of 2020

Date of Decision : 16.10.2023

Samay Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that the question of law raised in the present petition is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No. 1048 of 2016 titled as ***Jai Bhagwan Vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 01.03.2019 and the present petition may kindly be disposed of as such.

Learned State counsel submits that there is already an LPA filed against the decision of said petition, hence the grant of benefit to the petitioner may be made subject to the outcome of the said LPA.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection in case, the benefit as extended to Jai Bhagwan is extended to the petitioner subject to the outcome of the LPA filed in the case of ***Jai Bhagwan (supra)***.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of in terms of ***Jai Bhagwan's case (supra)*** with the clear undertaking of the petitioner that in case the said judgment is modified by the LPA Bench, the same will be applicable upon the claim of the petitioner as well.

October 16, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No