

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CWP-2297-2023

Date of Decision: 07.07.2023

RAVI KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Bhriгу Dutt Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No. 4 and 6.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J.(Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents to de seal/open the tenanted godown of the petitioner situated at House No. 3060, Street No.2, Ganesh Nagar, Ludhiana and retaining the goods belonging to the petitioner lying inside the godown.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurpal Singh 309/Ludhiana submits that the case property had already been removed from the above said godown and the same has been de sealed. She further contends that the present petition has been

rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner is entitled to be compensated for the illegal and arbitrary action of the respondents in sealing the said premises for no ostensible reason.

The above said issue being a disputed question as regards determination of compensation, the same cannot be gone into by this Court at this juncture. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate petition before a competent Court to seek compensation, if so advised.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the liberty aforesaid.

[VINOD S. BHARDWAJ]
JUDGE

July 07, 2023
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no