

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.269**

**CRM-M-5923-2022**

**Date of Decision: 02.02.2023**

Priyanka @ Priyanka Chauhan

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. Abhay Singh, Advocate and  
Mr. Satpal Bhasin, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate and  
Ms. Harleen Ahluwalia, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

Pursuant to the interim order dated 11.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation several times.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Naresh Kumar, however, submits that certain dowry articles and documents, like date of birth certificate, and mobile phone are still to be recovered. Therefore, petitioner's custodial interrogation is required.

Merely because recovery of some alleged dowry articles is still to be effected, cannot be a ground to seek custodial interrogation of the petitioner herein. Entrustment of the articles itself is a matter of trial, which is yet to commence. So far as other documents, date of birth certificate etc. are concerned, those are in public domain, and petitioner's custodial interrogation will not be required for that purpose. Still further, the police is already in possession of mobile call details, tower location, etc. of the accused, and the same have been placed on record of the case, running into

over thirty pages.

In view thereof, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 11.02.2022 is made absolute subject to her abiding by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)**  
**JUDGE**

**02.02.2023**  
Maninder

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |