

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRWP-1290-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 21.02.2023**

Pal Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Instant petition was filed by petitioners i.e. Pal Kaur, aged about 29 years and Nanak Singh, aged about 24 years, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 for providing protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, which is at imminent danger at the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.

In response to the petition, status report dated 11.05.2022 by way of affidavit of Surinder Singh (PPS), Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Kapurthala has been filed learned State counsel on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Learned State counsel refers to paragraphs No. 5 and 6 of the status report, which says as under:

“5. *That upon directions from answering respondent, SHO P.S. Kotwali through SI Hardip Singh has got verified the factum of petition from petitioners and regarding threat perception to petitioners from respondent no.4 and 5 and upon verification petitioner no.1 has got reorder her statement that she in apprehension of threats from respondent no.4 and 5 has filed present petition alongwith petitioner no.2 and now she is living happily with petitioner no.2 alongwith her minor female child*

and she do not apprehend any danger from respondent no.4 and 5 as such do not want any action on present petition. Copy of statement of petitioner no.1 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-I/T.

6. That SI Hardip Singh has also recorded the statement of respondent no.4 and 5 who have categorically stated that they will not interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners and they have no objection to the marriage of petitioners. Copy of statement of respondent no.4 and 5 are annexed herewith as Annexure R-2/T and R-3/T.

Perusal of the file reveals that there was no representation on behalf the petitioners on the previous date of hearing i.e. on 04.03.2022 and same position is toady also.

I have gone through the averments mentioned in the present petition and reply filed by the State counsel.

In view of the reply filed by the State counsel and as reproduced here-in above, this Court is of the view that no cause of action survives with the petitioners for keeping the petition pending before this Court, therefore, present petition stands disposed of.

Disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

21.02.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No