

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-2099-2023

Date of Decision: 29.08.2023

Arvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate with
Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocate the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to consider his case for compassionate appointment.
2. The father of the petitioner was working with respondent-bank and on account of medical condition, he applied for voluntary retirement. Respondent vide communication dated 26.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) accepted application of the employee for voluntary retirement. The petitioner being son of the employee vide application dated 14.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) requested the respondent-bank to extend him the benefit of policy for compassionate appointment. The

petitioner after filing representation dated 14.06.2021 has filed reminders, however, respondent-bank has not responded to the same.

3. Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondents, asserts that application of the petitioner, in terms of the policy for compassionate appointment, would be adjudicated within 2 months from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the said arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of learned counsels for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>