

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

241

CWP-2604-2022
Date of Decision: 28.08.2023

Kunwar Pal Singh ...Petitioner
Versus

Indian Council for Agricultural Research (ICAR) and Others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Gursher Bhandal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Naveen Chopra, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3, at the outset, submits that petitioner has alternate remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, thus, this Court should not invoke its writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
2. Mr. Gursher Bhandal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pension is a fundamental right of the petitioner, thus, writ petition may be entertained despite alternate remedy of approaching Central Administrative Tribunal.
3. Without commenting upon merits of the case, this Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its writ jurisdiction when petitioner is having remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal.
4. Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No