

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1026-2023

Date of Decision: 03.02.2023

Khushi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection to the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. The petitioners are present in person in Court and have submitted that when they entered the Court today in the morning, they were harassed by relative of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent No.6. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 8. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting some protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Anil K. Sokal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of

respondent No.6.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

Lady Constable Parmila, who is present in person, has been apprised the situation and directed to ensure the safety of the petitioners from the Court itself and provide them safe passage till their destination in Chandigarh. It would be ensured by the Chandigarh Police that the petitioners are not caused any harm in any manner whatsoever. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

Disposed of.

03.02.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE