

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2004-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.02.2023

ANUJ VERMA

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu,
Senior Standing Counsel, Chandigarh.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed by petitioner under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to decide his representations dated 29.01.2023 and 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-5), whereby he seeks clarification regarding syllabus for written examination scheduled to be conducted by respondent No.2, for filling up post of Law Officer.

Learned counsel submits that respondent No.2 vide public notice dated 03.10.2022 invited applications for filling up various posts in Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh, including one post of Law Officer and pursuant to the same, the petitioner submitted his online application bearing No.822651. He submits that as per the advertisement, the details/scheme of the written test have been mentioned, but the syllabus of the test relating to the post of Law Officer is not given in detail. He submits that despite the visits of the petitioner, respondent No.2 has failed to give

the syllabus for the said test, therefore, he sent representations on 29.01.2023 and 30.01.2023, but the same have not been responded. He prays that the writ petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide his representation.

After hearing the learned counsel and considering the submissions, this Court finds that the advertisement was issued in October, 2022 and the last date for submission of online application was fixed as 31.10.2022. The petitioner submitted his form in time, who has been given his roll number also, but he has chosen to raise the issue of syllabus at the last moment, when the written test is scheduled to take place on 04.02.2023. A perusal of the public notice shows that the written test is consisting of objective type questions and broadly the subjects have been mentioned, therefore, this Court has no hesitation in holding that the grievance raised by the petitioner in respect of the syllabus is misplaced.

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

01.02.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No