

2023:PHHC:131254
**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-9163-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.10.2023

Dalbir Singh @ Jagdish Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Satinder Kaur and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. J.S.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Krishan Kanha, Advocate for
Mr. N.S.Lucky, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. Admittedly, a decree for divorce has already been granted in favour of the wife in 2020. In this revision petition, the challenge is to the correctness of the order passed under Section 24 read with Section 26 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, for grant of maintenance pendente lite. The court, in the facts and circumstances of the case, has directed the petitioner to pay maintenance at the rate of Rs.12,000/- per month to the wife and children. This maintenance was made payable only during the pendency of the divorce petition and the divorce has been granted already.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the petitioner is getting pension of Rs.8,000/ per month. From

the reading of the order, it is evident that no such plea has been taken before the Family Court.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

4. Hence, dismissed.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

07.10.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE