

2023:PHHC:160423

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19692-2003

Date of Decision:14.12.2023

PAKHAR RAM

Versus

BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LTD.ETC.

..... Petitioner

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 07.09.2001 and 13.07.2001 whereby claim of petitioner for promotion was declined.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner preferred O.A No.1214/PB/2002 before Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (for short ‘CAT’). The application came to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 13.12.2002 because at that point of time Tribunal was not empowered to entertain matters relating to employees of BSNL. The Central Government has issued notification whereby CAT has been empowered to entertain petitions relating to employees of BSNL, thus, present petition may be transferred to CAT, Chandigarh.
3. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Registry is directed to transfer complete paper book to CAT, Chandigarh. At the first instance, the petitioner would appear before CAT, Chandigarh on 29.01.2024 and thereafter as directed by the Tribunal.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No