

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(215)

**CR No. 8974 of 2017
Date of Decision : 17.03.2023**

Sarabjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Udham Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

On 13.08.2018, the following order was passed :-

“As per office report, notice issued to the respondent has not been received back served or otherwise.

On prayer made, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take steps to effect service upon the respondent through registered AD cover.

Adjourned to 03.10.2018.”

Thereafter, no effective steps have been taken to serve the respondent and even after a period of more than 5 years, the respondent is unserved. This fact clearly goes to show that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 17, 2023
kanchan

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No