

2023:PHHC:048856

CRR-1455-1999 (O&M)

232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-1455-1999 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:-10.04.2023

S.K. Vohra

...Petitioner.

Vs.

Smt. Shakuntala Devi and others

...Respondents..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. APS Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition has arisen out of an order dated 13.11.1999 passed by the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, whereby directions were issued to the SHO concerned for restoration of possession of the premises in question i.e. SCF No.2, Second Floor, Sector 23-C, Chandigarh in favour of respondents No.1 to 3.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 26.11.1999, this Court passed the following order:-

“Crl. Misc.6707/99 is allowed as prayed.

Heard.

*Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3
and public prosecutor UT Chandigarh, for
14.01.2000.*

*Status quo in respect of the possession on
second floor of the property in dispute shall be
maintained as it exists today till then.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an ejectment

CRR-1455-1999 (O&M)

petition filed at the instance of petitioner was also pending before the Rent Controller, U.T., Chandigarh pertaining to the tenanted premises besides even a civil suit for permanent injunction filed at the instance of respondents No.1 to 3 against the petitioner. He further submits that neither the petitioner nor any of his representative is coming forward, despite all sincere efforts so as to inform him about the outcome of the civil litigation, which was pending since long and in all probabilities the same would have already stood adjudicated finally by the rent authorities and dispute about possession would have finally stood settled between the parties to this dispute as regards the premises in question.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is not pressed and thus, disposed of, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again, in case, anything substantial survives against the impugned order.

10.04.2023
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
whether reportable: Yes/No