

2023:PHHC:096689

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-4372-2019 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 28.07.2023

RAVINDER JAIN AND ANR

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Prashant Puri, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2 & 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking transfer of the complaint from the Court at Nuh to competent Court at Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is a senior citizen and is resident Delhi. Two complaints had been preferred against the petitioner No.1 by respondent No.2 as the cheques issued by him had been dishonoured. One complaint had been preferred at Nuh while the other complaint had been preferred at Gurugram. He submits that the complaints should be heard and tried together in view of the Section 142A of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that the complaint at Gurugram has been decided and petitioner has been acquitted by the judgment dated 07.05.2019. The case at Nuh is at an advanced stage as the same is fixed for defence evidence and arguments.

4. Heard. The petitioner is seeking transfer of the complaint on the ground that two complaints should be heard and tried together. The complaint at Gurugram has already been decided while complaint at Nuh is pending adjudication and is at the stage of defence evidence and arguments. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition at this stage to transfer the trial from Nuh which is at an advanced stage of adjudication to Gurugram.

5. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.07.2023

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No