

CR-909-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.03.2023

Malkiat Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Teenu

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shazia K. Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the instant petition is to the order of the learned Appellate Authority dated 26.11.2015, passed in Rent Appeal No.11 dated 08.08.2014, reversing the order of ejectment dated 03.07.2014, passed by the learned Rent Controller, Khanna, against the respondent-tenant.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties state that during the pendency of the instant petition before this Court, the parties have entered into an amicable settlement, as per which, the respondent-tenant has agreed to vacate the premises in terms of ejectment order passed by the learned Rent Controller, Khanna while the petitioner-landlord has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.40,000/- to the respondent-tenant. Learned counsel for the parties state that in the circumstances the instant petition may be disposed of in terms of the aforementioned settlement arrived at between the parties. Parties are present in Court and have been identified by their respective counsel and on query from them, they have affirmed

the factum of amicable settlement as referred to above.

3. In view of the position noted above as also in view of the statement of parties as identified by their respective counsel as well as in view of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of in terms of the above settlement arrived at between the parties which shall be read to be as part of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.03.2023
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No