

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(279)

CR-441-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.04.2023

Harpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

MK/s Acme Medical Services Private Limited and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ivan Singh Khosa, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Vikrant Mittal, Advocate with
Ms. Pradipta Bagchi, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM-12009-CII-2022

As the main petition is listed for today, no order is required to be passed in the present application as the same has rendered infructuous.

CR-441-2022

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the plea of the petitioner is only qua the jurisdiction of the Court at Moga but inadvertently, the application was filed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC instead of Order 7 Rule 10 CPC, hence the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to file

appropriate application before the court below for consideration.

Ordered accordingly.

April 20, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No