

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 452 of 2022 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 22nd February, 2023**

Union of India and another

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

Kans Raj and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. Nimish Gautam, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Notice of motion in this appeal was issued on restricted question of interest under Section 23(1A) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the Act'). It was further noted that the matters arising from notification dated 24.12.2004 in FAO No. 5973 of 2013 and connected appeals were decided on 30.3.2016. The matter has now been finally concluded against Union of India by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 7064/2019 and connected appeals on 19.9.2019.

Learned counsel for UOI submits that the issue with regard to interest under Section 23(1)(A) of the Act does not survive in view of the Supreme Court decision in case of *National Highway Authority of India and another Versus Tehal Singh and others*, Miscellaneous Application Diary No.2572 of 2020 in Civil Appeal No. 7086 of 2019, decided on 30th July, 2021.

In view of above, no further orders are called for.

Dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

22nd February, 2023
reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>