

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

296

CR-8418-2016 O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.11.2023

Gian Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Dr. Bhupinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ashok Sehgal, Advocate,
Mr. Vaibhav Katoch, Advocate ad
Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (oral)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been made to an order dated 16.09.2016 passed by Rent Controller, Chandigarh, whereby an application under Section 18-A of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (hereinafter referred to as '1949 Act') seeking grant of leave to contest the eviction petition filed at the instance of respondent-landlord, invoking Section 13-B of 1949 Act stands declined.

2. In view of the fact that the eviction orders dated 04.09.2014 and 24.10.2016 passed by the authorities under 1949 Act already stand upheld by this Court vide order of even date passed in CR-345-2017 titled as 'Gian Singh Vs. Bhupinder Singh', the present revision petition is rendered infructuous.

3. **Disposed off** in the above terms.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed off.

03.11.2023

Mangal Singh

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**