

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M No.4735 of 2023
Date of Decision: 25.07.2023

Mandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.0574 dated 02.12.2022 registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad, under Sections 452, 323, 354 (A) (1) (i) and 506 read with Section 34 IPC (wherein the offence under Section 325 IPC is stated to have been added later-on).

2. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from HC Rajesh Kumari from the above-referred Police Station, informs the Court that in compliance of the order as passed by the Co-ordinate Bench on 31.01.2023, the petitioner has joined in the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required and moreover, she is also not involved in any

other criminal case.

3. In view of the afore-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel as well as the fact that vide the said order dated 31.01.2023, the Co-ordinate Bench has already granted the interim relief to the petitioner by way of staying her arrest subject to her joining in the investigation, the present petition is allowed to the effect that the petitioner is extended the relief of anticipatory bail subject to her furnishing the requisite personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer.

4. However, the petitioner shall continue to join in the investigation as and when required/called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

25.07.2023

pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*