

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CR No. 636 of 2023

Date of Decision : 31.01.2023

Kamini Vohra

...Petitioner

Versus

Santosh Rani through her Lrs and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Reshabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present civil revision petition is not being pressed on merit but certain observations, which have been made by the trial court while passing order dated 07.12.2022 (Annexure P-7), will cause prejudice to the petitioner ultimately in her suit hence, the observations made by the trial court while passing order dated 07.12.2022 need to be expunged.

The apprehension of the petitioner is not based on the correct assumption. The suit is to be decided on the basis of the facts and evidence, which will come on record and the observations made during the passing of the interlocutory orders, are not binding upon the court as the decision of the suit will ultimately be based upon the facts and evidence, which will come on record.

Keeping in view the above, the present civil revision petition is disposed of.

January 31, 2023

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No