

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRWP-863-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 20.02.2023**

Krishan Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Order dated 31.01.2023 passed by this Court says as under:

“Prima facie, it is appears that the dispute between the petitioner and private respondents is with regard to the business premises taken on lease by the petitioner.

Still considering the fact that one GD No. 5 dated 05.01.2023 as a cross-version to FIR No. 2 dated 05.01.2023 under Sections 342, 323, 379-B, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC; registered at the instance of worker of the petitioner at Police Station Sadar Khanna, is under process of investigation, this Court would like to see the status of it.

Notice of motion to official respondents i.e. No. 1 to 4, only.

*On asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora,
DAG, Punjab, to whom advance copy of paper book
stands supplied, accepts notice on behalf of
respondent-State and seeks time to file status
report.*

Adjourned to 20.02.2023.”

Today, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions to state that on account of compromise between the parties, present petition be withdrawn.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

20.02.2023

Riya

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>